	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/700,649	FREEMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>ALEX NOGUEROLA</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jacob Koering</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, rejection of claims 22 and 34		
Claims discussed: all pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Koering agreed to an examiner's amendment to restore the second paragraph on page 9 of the specification to the original wording. The examiner will withdraw the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, rejection of claims 22 and 34.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
dig not result in resolution of an issues. A biter summary	by the examiner appears in.	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		